Abstract—A three-dimensional reference model for wideband multiple-input multiple-output mobile-to-mobile channels is reviewed. To allow comparison between the proposed model and measured data, a new maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator is derived. The proposed estimator extracts the relevant model parameters from the measured data. The performance of the new estimator is evaluated by deriving the Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB) and by comparing the mean square error of the parameter estimates to the CRLB. Simulation results show that the proposed estimator has an asymptotically optimal performance, since it reaches the CRLB for a small number of samples.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile-to-mobile (M-to-M) communications play an important role in mobile ad-hoc wireless networks, intelligent transportation systems, and relay-based cellular networks. The statistical properties of M-to-M channels are quite different from conventional fixed-to-mobile (F-to-M) cellular land mobile radio channels [1]. M-to-M communication systems are equipped with low elevation antennas and have both the transmitter and receiver in motion. Akki and Haber [1] proposed a two-dimensional (2-D) reference model for single-input single-output (SISO) M-to-M Rayleigh fading channels. Reference models for 2-D narrowband multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) M-to-M channels have been proposed in [2], [3]. To appropriately model an urban environment, we have recently proposed the three-dimensional (3-D) reference model for narrowband and wideband MIMO M-to-M multipath fading channels [4], [5].

Realistic M-to-M channel models are necessary for successful design of M-to-M systems. In order to validate channel models, comparison between the channel models and measurements, and consequently estimation of the model parameters, is necessary. Deterministic parameter estimation techniques employ deterministic channel models that have a large number of discrete waves with unknown amplitudes, phases, and frequencies (e.g., Space Alternating Generalized Expectation-maximization (SAGE) algorithm) [6], [7]. Such an estimation approach leads to maximization of highly non-linear likelihood functions with many local optima, which causes convergence problems [8]. On the other hand, stochastic channel parameter estimation techniques employ statistical channel models, where the only unknowns are the parameters of the distribution functions used to characterize the angles of departure and the angles of arrival. The first stochastic estimator, which employs the 2-D MIMO F-to-M channel model in [9] and estimates the parameters in the von Mises distribution function, is introduced in [10]. Compared to the deterministic parameter estimation approach, this method leads to lower complexity and faster convergence [11], [12].

In this paper, a new maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator is derived to extract the parameters needed for our 3-D wideband MIMO M-to-M channel reference model in [5] from measured data. The new estimator jointly estimates the parameters of the distribution functions used to characterize the azimuth and elevation angles of departure, the azimuth and elevation angles of arrival, and the parameters that specify how much the single- and double-bounced rays contribute to the total averaged received power. This estimator is an extension of the stochastic estimator in [10], which only estimates the parameters of the distribution function used to characterize the azimuth angles of arrival. The performance of the new estimator is evaluated by deriving the Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB) and by comparing the mean square error of the parameter estimates to the CRLB. Simulation results show that the proposed estimator has an asymptotically optimal performance, since it reaches the CRLB for a small number of samples.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. For ease of reference, Section II reviews our 3-D wide-band MIMO M-to-M reference model and its space-time-frequency correlation function (STF-CF). Section III presents the new maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator. Section IV derives the CRLB for the proposed estimator. Section V compares the mean square error of the parameter estimates to the CRLB and evaluates the performance of the proposed estimator by comparing the analytical and measured STF-CF. Finally, Section VI provides some concluding remarks.

II. A 3-D THEORETICAL MODEL FOR WIDEBAND MIMO MOBILE-TO-MOBILE CHANNELS

This section reviews our 3-D theoretical model for wideband MIMO M-to-M multipath fading channels proposed in [5]. We consider a wideband MIMO communication system with $L_t$ transmit and $L_r$ receive omnidirectional antenna elements. It is...
The 3-D reference model is derived using the 3-D geometrical “concentric-cylinders” model shown in Fig. 1. Around the Tx, M fixed omnidirectional scatterers occupy a volume between cylinders of radii \( R_{t1} \) and \( R_{t2} \). It is assumed that the \( M \) scatterers lie on \( L \) cylindrical surfaces of radii \( R_{t1} \leq R_{t1} \leq R_{t2} \), where \( 1 \leq l \leq L \). The \( i \)-th cylindrical surface contains \( M^{(l)} \) fixed omnidirectional scatterers, and the \( l \)-th transmit scatterer is denoted by \( S_{lR}^{(m,l)} \), where \( 1 \leq m \leq M^{(l)} \). Similarly, around the Rx, \( N \) fixed omnidirectional scatterers occupy a volume between cylinders of radii \( R_{r1} \) and \( R_{r2} \). It is assumed that the \( N \) scatterers lie on \( P \) cylindrical surfaces of radii \( R_{r1} \leq R_{r1} \leq R_{r2} \), where \( 1 \leq k \leq P \). The \( k \)-th cylindrical surface contains \( N^{(k)} \) fixed omnidirectional scatterers, and the \( (n,k) \)-th receive scatterer is denoted by \( S_{nR}^{(m,k)} \), where \( 1 \leq m \leq N^{(k)} \). The parameters in Fig. 1 are defined in Table I.

The time-variant transfer function of the link \( A^{(p)}_T - A^{(2)}_R \) is defined as a superposition of the LoS, single-bounced transmit, single-bounced receive, and double-bounced rays [5]

\[
T_{pq}(t, f) = T^{LoS}_{pq}(t, f) + T^{SBT}_{pq}(t, f) + T^{SBR}_{pq}(t, f) + T^{DB}_{pq}(t, f),
\]

where the single-bounced transmit, single-bounced receive, double-bounced, and LoS components of the time-variant transfer function are defined in (3), (4), (8), (11) of [5], respectively.

The model in [5] assumes that the angles of departure and the angles of arrival are random variables. The azimuth angles of departure and arrival, \( \alpha^{(m,l)}_T \) and \( \alpha^{(n,k)}_R \), are characterized by the von Mises probability density function (pdf) [13]. The elevation angles of departure and arrival, \( \beta^{(m,l)}_T \) and \( \beta^{(n,k)}_R \), are characterized by the pdf [14] \( f(\varphi) = \pi \cos (\pi \varphi) / (4 \varphi_m) \) for \( \varphi \leq \varphi_m \) and \( f(\varphi) = 0 \) otherwise. The parameter \( \varphi_m \) is the maximum elevation angle and lies in the range \( 0^\circ \leq \varphi_m \leq 20^\circ \) [5]. Finally, the radii \( R^{(l)}_t \) and \( R^{(k)}_r \) are characterized by the pdf \( f(R) = 2R/(R^2 - R^2) \) [5].

The normalized STF-CF between two time-variant transfer functions \( T_{pq}(t, f) \) and \( T_{p\bar{q}}(t, f) \) can be written as [5]

\[
R_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) = R^{SBT}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) + R^{SBR}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) + R^{DB}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f),
\]

where \( R^{SBT}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), \( R^{SBR}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), \( R^{DB}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), and \( R^{LoS}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \) denote the normalized STF-CFs of the single-bounced transmit, single-bounced receive, double-bounced, and LoS components, respectively, and \( p, \bar{p} \in \{1, \ldots, L_t\} \), \( q, \bar{q} \in \{1, \ldots, L_r\} \). The expressions for \( R^{SBT}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), \( R^{SBR}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), \( R^{DB}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \), and \( R^{LoS}_{pq,p\bar{q}}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \) are given in Appendix A.

### Table 1: Definition of parameters in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D )</td>
<td>The distance between the centers of the Tx and Rx cylinders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^{(l)}_t, R^{(k)}_r )</td>
<td>The radius of the ( l )-th Tx and ( k )-th Rx cylinder, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{tx}, d_{rx} )</td>
<td>The spacing between two adjacent antenna elements at the Tx and Rx, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{tx}, \theta_{rx} )</td>
<td>The orientation of the Tx and Rx antenna array in the x-y plane (relative to the ( z )-axis), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_t, \psi_r )</td>
<td>The elevation of the Tx’s and Rx’s antenna array relative to the x-y plane, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_{tx}, v_{rx} )</td>
<td>The velocities of the Tx and Rx, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_t, \gamma_r )</td>
<td>The moving directions of the Tx and Rx, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma^{(m,l)}_T, \sigma^{(n,k)}_R )</td>
<td>The azimuth angles of departure (AoD) of the waves that impinge on the scatterers ( S^{(m,l)}_l ) and ( S^{(n,k)}_k ), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma^{(m,l)}_T, \sigma^{(n,k)}_R )</td>
<td>The azimuth angles of arrival (AoA) of the waves scattered from ( S^{(m,l)}_l ) and ( S^{(n,k)}_k ), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta^{(m,l)}_T, \theta^{(n,k)}_R )</td>
<td>The elevation angles of departure (EoD) and the elevation angles of arrival (EoA), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{tx}, \epsilon_{rx} )</td>
<td>The distance between the Tx and Rx antennas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{tx}, \epsilon_{rx} )</td>
<td>The distances ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), and ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{tx}, \epsilon_{rx} )</td>
<td>The distances ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), and ( d^{(m,l)}_T, d^{(n,k)}_R ), respectively.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Maximum Likelihood Based Parameter Estimation

The parametric nature of our wide-band channel model makes it adaptable to a variety of propagation environments. To verify our reference model with measured data, we need to estimate the model parameters from the measurements. Hence, a new maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator is derived to extract the parameters needed for our reference model from the measured data. The new estimator jointly estimates the parameters of the distribution functions used to characterize the azimuth and elevation angles of departure, the azimuth and elevation angles of arrival, and the
parameters that specify how much the single- and double-bounced rays contribute to the total averaged received power, i.e., \( \Theta = [\beta \mu \mu, k_T, k_R, \beta \mu \eta \eta, \eta] \). This estimator is an extension of the stochastic estimator in [10], which only estimates the parameters of the distribution function used to characterize the azimuth angles of arrival. In contrast to the estimator in [10], which estimates its parameters from the spatial correlation function, our estimator uses the STF-CF to estimate the parameters.

By observing that both the theoretical and measured input delay-spread functions are complex Gaussian random processes, we can estimate the parameters \( \Theta = [\beta \mu \mu, k_T, k_R, \beta \mu \eta \eta, \eta] \) using a maximum-likelihood (ML) estimator with the log-likelihood function

\[
L(\Theta_{T,F}) = -N_s \left\{ \ln \pi^{L_s L_f} + \ln |R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s)| \right\} + \text{tr}\left\{ R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s)^{-1} \hat{R}(T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s) \right\},
\]

where \( \ln(\cdot) \) denotes the natural logarithm operation, \( \text{tr}(\cdot) \) denotes the matrix trace, \( \Delta t_s \) and \( N_t \) denote the sampling period and the number of samples with respect to the frequency variable \( f \), \( N_s = N_t N_f \) is the total number of samples, \( T \in \{0, \ldots, t_{\text{max}} - 1\} \), \( F \in \{0, \ldots, f_{\text{max}} - 1\} \), \( t_{\text{max}} = \lceil 1/(B_d \Delta t_s) \rceil \), \( f_{\text{max}} = \lceil 1/(\Delta f_s \tau_{\text{max}}) \rceil \), \( B_d \) is the Doppler spread, \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum relative delay, and \( \Theta_{T,F} \) denotes the vector of estimated parameters in the time and frequency instances \( T \Delta t_s \) and \( F \Delta f_s \). The matrix \( \hat{R}(T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s) \) is the \( L_s L_r \times L_s L_r \) matrix of measured correlation functions, whereas \( R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s) \) is the \( L_s L_r \times L_s L_r \) matrix of theoretical correlation functions, having elements \( R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s)_{i,j} = R_{pq,\bar{p}\bar{q}}(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s) \) that are defined in (2). After removing the constant terms that are not dependent on the channel, the ML estimates are obtained as

\[
\hat{\Theta}_{T,F} = \arg\max_{\Theta_{T,F}} \left\{ -\ln |R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s)| \right\} - \text{tr}\left\{ R(\Theta_{T,F}, T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s)^{-1} \hat{R}(T \Delta t_s, F \Delta f_s) \right\},
\]

with the constraint \( \eta_T + \eta_R + \eta_{TR} = 1 \). The expression in (4) is optimized using the sequential quadratic programming algorithm [15]. The final vector of estimated parameters \( \Theta \) is obtained as \( \Theta = (t_{\text{max}} f_{\text{max}})^{-1} \sum_{T=0}^{t_{\text{max}}-1} \sum_{F=0}^{f_{\text{max}}-1} \hat{R}_{T,F} \).

IV. CRAMÉR-RAO BOUND

To study the performance of the proposed estimator, we derive the Cramér-Rao lower bounds (CRLB) for the estimated parameters \( \Theta = \{\Theta_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^8 = [\beta \mu \mu, k_T, k_R, \beta \mu \eta \eta, \eta] \). The CRLB bound of the estimated parameter \( \Theta_i \) is the diagonal element of the inverse Fisher information matrix that corresponds to the parameter \( \Theta_i \). The \( (i,j)^{th} \) element of the Fisher information matrix can be written as \( [F(\Theta)]_{i,j} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 L(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_i \partial \Theta_j} \right] \), where \( i,j \in \{1, \ldots, 8\} \). To obtain the elements of the Fisher information matrix, we calculate the first and the second derivative of the log-likelihood function in (3) as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial L(\Theta_{T,F})}{\partial \Theta_i} = \frac{\partial^2 L(\Theta_{T,F})}{\partial \Theta_i \partial \Theta_j} = \ldots
\]

V. EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED ESTIMATOR

In this section, we evaluate the performance of the proposed estimator by comparing the analytical and measured STF-CF and by comparing the mean square error of the parameter estimates to the CRLB.

To verify our reference model with measured data, we need to estimate the model parameters from the measurements. The measured data used in this paper is reported in [16]. The distances between antenna elements (\( d_T \) and \( d_R \)), the directions and speeds of the \( T_x \) and \( R_x \) (\( \gamma_T, \gamma_R, v_T, \) and \( v_R \)), the azimuth angles of the \( T_x \)’s and \( R_x \)’s antenna arrays (\( \theta_T \) and \( \theta_R \)), and the elevation angles of the \( T_x \)’s and \( R_x \)’s antenna arrays (\( \psi_T \) and \( \psi_R \)) are obtained from the antenna array geometry and video camera recordings. The Rice factor is estimated using the moment-method in [17]. Furthermore, the parameters \( R_{t_2} \) and \( R_{t_2} \) are estimated from the measured psds by setting \( R_{t_2} = R_{t_2} = c_0 \tau_{\text{max}} / 2 \), where \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum relative

1Operation \( \lceil \cdot \rceil \) denotes rounding up to the next integer, \( B_d \) is estimated from the measured sD-psd, and \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) is estimated from the measured psds.
delay and \( c_0 \) is the speed of light. The parameters \( R_{t1} \) and \( R_{r1} \) are chosen to be \( R_{t1} = R_{r1} = 0.1 R_{t2} \). Finally, the parameters \( \Theta = [\beta_{T_m}, k_T, \mu_T, \beta_{R_m}, k_R, \mu_R, \eta_T, \eta_R] \) are estimated using the proposed maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator.

Figs. 2 - 4 compare the theoretical and measured correlation functions. The parameters used to obtain curves in Figs. 2 - 4 are \( \beta_{T_m} = 5.1^\circ, \beta_{R_m} = 10.2^\circ, \mu_T = 31.3^\circ, \mu_R = 141.7^\circ, k_T = 12.5, k_R = 10.2, \eta_T = 0.213, \eta_R = 0.234, \eta_{TR} = 0.553, \theta_T = \theta_R = 0^\circ, \psi_T = \psi_R = 0^\circ, \gamma_T = \gamma_R = 90^\circ, \lambda = 0.123\ m, R_{t1} = R_{r1} = 3.1\ m, R_{t2} = R_{r2} = 31\ m, \Delta_H = 0, D = 300\ m, \gamma = 4, L_t = L_r = 2, d_T = d_R = 2.943\ \lambda, f_{T_{\text{max}}} = f_{R_{\text{max}}} = 90.86\ Hz\), and \( K = 2.41 \).

![Fig. 2. Comparison of the theoretical and measured space-time correlation functions \( R_{12,22}(\Delta t, \Delta f = 0) \).](image)

![Fig. 3. Comparison of the theoretical and measured time-frequency correlation functions \( R_{11,11}(\Delta t, \Delta f = 72\ Hz) \).](image)

![Fig. 4. Comparison of the theoretical and measured space-time-frequency correlation functions \( R_{12,22}(\Delta t, \Delta f = 72\ Hz) \).](image)

![Fig. 5. Comparison of the MSE and CRLB for the parameters \( [\Theta_i]_{i=1}^4 = [\beta_{T_m}, k_T, \mu_T, \beta_{R_m}, k_R, \mu_R, \eta_T, \eta_R] \), where \( \Theta_i \) denotes the exact value and \( \tilde{\Theta}_i \) denotes the estimated value of the parameter \( \Theta_i \). Since the results obtained for the parameters \( [\beta_{T_m}, k_T, \mu_T, \eta_T] \) are almost identical with those obtained for the parameters \( [\beta_{R_m}, k_R, \mu_R, \eta_R] \), Fig. 5 plots the CRLB and the MSE only for the parameters \( [\Theta_i]_{i=1}^4 = [\beta_{T_m}, k_T, \mu_T, \eta_T] \). The curves in Fig. 5 are obtained with the parameters in Figs. 2 - 4. The simulation results show that the proposed estimator has asymptotically optimal performance, since it reaches the CRLB for a small number of samples, i.e., \( N_s = 10^3 \). The close agreement between the theoretical and empirical curves confirms the utility of the proposed estimator.]

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, our 3-D reference model for wide-band MIMO M-to-M channels is reviewed. To allow comparison between
the proposed model and measured data, a new maximum likelihood based stochastic estimator is derived. The proposed estimator extracts the relevant model parameters from the measured data. The performance of the new estimator is evaluated by deriving the CRLB and by comparing the mean square error of the parameter estimates to the CRLB. Simulation results show that the proposed estimator has an asymptotically optimal performance, since it reaches the CRLB for a small number of samples. Finally, the close agreement between the theoretical and empirical curves confirms the utility of the proposed estimator.
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APPENDIX A

THE STF-CFS OF THE SINGLE-BOUNCED, DOUBLE-BOUNCED, AND LOS COMPONENTS

In [5] is shown that the STF-CFs of the single-bounced transmit, single-bounced receive, double-bounced, and LOS components can be closely approximated as, respectively,

\[ R_{pq,\bar{pq}}^{SBR}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \approx \frac{\eta_T \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{X} \beta_{TM} \Delta f \right)}{I(0) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \left( \frac{4 \pi^2 \gamma^2}{\lambda} \right)^2} \right)} e^{-j \pi \left( \frac{p-q}{\lambda} \right) q d \Delta f T_{\text{max}} \cos \gamma_R} \]

(8)

\[ R_{pq,\bar{pq}}^{SBR}(\Delta t, \Delta f) \approx \frac{\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \left( \frac{\pi}{X} \beta_{TM} \Delta f \right)}{1 - \frac{4 \pi^2 \gamma^2}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{R_1} \right)} e^{-j \pi \left( \frac{p-q}{\lambda} \right) q d \Delta f T_{\text{max}} \cos \gamma_R} \]

(9)

Finally, the close agreement between the theoretical and empirical curves confirms the utility of the proposed estimator.
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